

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ph

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

TION NO.
)6
UMBER
(

DATE MAILED: 11/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/708,607	BARNARDO ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Vip Patel	2879	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status				
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
		action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is	
·	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims				
4)🖂	I)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-9</u> is/are pending in the application.			
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5, 7-9</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)[8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2879

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-5 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, line 3, applicant claims "display has a plurality of separately activated individual area each of electroluminescent material, in which display". From provided drawings and reading the specification, here, it is not clear at all as to what exactly the applicant is attempting to claim.

In claim 1, line 5, "the back electrode layer" lacks antecedent basis. Is this back electrode layer one of "two electrode layers" cited in line 2?

In claim 1, lines 5-7, applicant claims "both the back electrode layer and the electroluminescent material layer are each composed of a plurality separate areas each matching in shape and size the image which relevant portion of the display is to show". From provided drawings and reading the specification, here, it is not clear at all as to what exactly the applicant is attempting to claim.

Similar situations also appear through claim 7.

Regarding claims 1-5 and 7-9, claims 1-5 and 7-9 are so informal (see rejection of claims under 35 USC 112, second paragraph) that no meaningful examination, as to applying of prior art, can be undertaken at this time. See MPEP 702.01.

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

Art Unit: 2879

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1-5, 7-9 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-5, 7-9 of copending US Application No. 10/708,610. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claims 1-5 and 7-9 of instant application are identical that of claims 1-5 and 5-7 or US application 10/708,610

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vip Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-2458. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

VIP PATEL
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2879